

BOYCOTT NEW YORK STATE UNTIL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE PROTECTED & ENFORCED

How would you like to be accused and charged with a crime? **How would you feel if you were told that the prosecutors DO NOT have to turn over certain key evidence before trial?** This is documented in an article on page A10 of the Times Union dated November 15, 2017 titled "A big advantage for NY defendants". Are the news media giving more credit to the Chief Judge than is deserved? Is it really a big advantage to NY defendant?

According to the article, the prosecutors DO NOT have to turn over police reports and witness statements until **after the jury has been sworn in**. Think about it, you **are NOT** entitled to know what witnesses stated or what they saw before the trial begins. How is a defendant suppose to defend themselves against false allegations or false statements by witnesses if they do not know what was stated? Think about it, **the trial has already started and you have only a day or two to be able to refute the witness' statements**. Do you really think the DA is going to tell the defendant about any witnesses that support his position or prove his innocence? The answer is NO!!

From experience, **the DA's office covers up anything that may help the accused and will only present partial evidence to a grand jury in order to get an indictment. All they want is a conviction and they do not care how they get it**. Lie if they must, because they know the judges will cover up their illegal actions in most cases.

According to the article, Brooklyn Defender Services states that **this is one reason why people sometimes plead to crimes they DID NOT commit. See scoop 35 about 2 men that were coerced into pleading guilty to a murder they did not commit and from what I see, the police and DA Sol Greenberg's office knew it but they had to get a conviction no matter what. As will be documented further on, DA Greenberg's office was as corrupt as can be and covered up illegal actions of state officials and were possibly involved in an attempted murder plot to cover up illegal actions of the state court judges.**

The article goes on to state that the new Chief Judge Janet DiFiore issued a recent order that prosecutors turn over exculpatory evidence within 30 days of trial. Does this really give a defense attorney time to investigate and question the witnesses and gain information that might contradict the witnesses' statements?

The prosecutors are going to take this to mean that they can wait to the day before the trial to turn over the exculpatory evidence. They are within the 30 days. So, the defense attorney gets the information the day before trial, instead of the day the jury is sworn in. **BIG difference? One day!** This is just an example of how disingenuous the judiciary is including Chief Judge DiFiore. She is doing exactly what corrupt Judge Judith Kaye did. Make a big deal out of nothing with the help of the news media. Example. Judges Kaye and Lippman made the big announcement that the family court is now open to the public. The media ate it up. The problem, the family court was required to be open to the public years before. It was a con. The family courts are still closed to the public.

See Items 08 & 09 for the new and old version of the Uniform Rules of Family Court §205.4

<https://justice4ny.com/33-nys-constitution-statutes-cases/>

Family Court proceedings are illegally closed (10:36) <https://youtu.be/Cf9wZ-jfLwo>

Prosecutors have been known to hide evidence in favor of the person accused. The only thing that happens when it is discovered is a new trial for the accused. Why don't they prosecute those who conceal evidence favorable to the accused? Why are they above the law? At a minimum they have committed official misconduct. They probably have also committed a felony by filing a false written instrument.

The DA's office is suppose to be seeking justice. They only care about getting convictions whether or not the person is guilty and this is why they hide evidence that is discoverable and is required to be turned over to the defense.

In the Times Union on November 22, A3 was another article concerning the same issue titled Ruling Bolsters Friedman's goal who was a subject of a the HBO documentary "Capturing the Friedmans". <http://www.timesunion.com/news/article/Capturing-the-Friedmans-FOIL-case-sent-back-to-12374685.php>

This article deals with a man who pleaded guilty of a child sex abuse crime in the 1980's. Friedman is trying to prove his innocence by getting the case materials under the Freedom of Information Law. He was seeking materials that were provided to the district attorney's office advisory panel and case-review team tasked with reinvestigating his case. He also wanted his case file and the grand jury minutes.

The article states: After having his request denied because prosecutors claimed the records would "identify a confidential source or disclose confidential information relating to a criminal investigation," Friedman took the case to court. A state Supreme Court judge ordered that the materials be turned over, but an appellate panel agreed with the district attorney's office that the documents were exempt from FOIL because they contained information provided by witnesses who did not testify at trial, thus making them confidential.

Of course, the DA is going to claim they are confidential. **How do we know that the DA is not trying to cover up any illegal actions his office took including the concealment of evidence and/or witnesses in the favor of the defendant?** Was the reason they didn't testify at trial was because he pleaded guilty to the crime without knowing the evidence against him. Did the police lie to him about the evidence against him to get the confession? Shouldn't all interrogations be video taped? Video taping would protect both the police and the defendant! Wait a minute, the DA and the police do NOT want video tapes used at trial unless it would be in their favor.

The article ended with: Miriam Sholder, a spokesperson for the Nassau County district attorney's office, said Friedman pleaded guilty, detailed his crimes on television and the review panel found he was not wrongfully convicted. **(Then why not give him the information? Was he coerced into the confession by the police and DA's Office?)**

"Public disclosure of child sex crime victims' statements will humiliate and re-traumatize vulnerable kids, and these sensitive records should not be available to convicted sex offenders, the media, or anyone else," Sholder said. "Coming forward to report sexual abuse is incredibly hard, and making victims' statements presumptively public records will deter victims' cooperation with law enforcement."

What about those falsely accused. I have been told, **that Child Protective Services keeps track of all fathers accused of child and/or other abuse (spousal) no matter if the charges were determined to be unfounded.** To them, that only means that they didn't have enough proof to prove the allegations. These allegations happen especially during custody and/or divorce proceedings.

I also heard that **Child Protective Services does NOT keep track of the persons making the reports.** I have fathers who I have helped tell me about their girlfriends of wives who were previously with others and that they were told how bad the ex was and they saw the allegations they made against the ex and thought how bad these fathers were. Now, the same allegations are being leveled against them because the women know that they can get away with it. **I am NOT stating that all allegations are false.** There needs to be due process and equal protection of the law. Those who file false reports, man or woman, should be prosecuted. There should be check to see of the person has made false reports against others and are the reports similar.

Another big issue. I have been told by many fathers that when the police come to the house concerning a domestic incident and the father calls, he is told that if they arrest the mother, they also have to arrest the father. Yet, if the mother calls they only have to arrest the father. Isn't this a denial of due process and equal protection of the law?

FOOT NOTES

You need to know your rights and how to address these issues in court.
Justice4NY - Exposing Judicial Corruption & the Violation of Constitutional Rights

NYS Judiciary is a Racketeering Enterprise according to the law. Read Scoop 50 and watch my videos on corruption. All at www.justice4ny.com

All of the family court's illegally imprisoned fathers, mothers and children need to be immediately released and those who put them illegally in jail need to be prosecuted in order to give the judiciary any credibility. (See, Scoops 01, 02, 05 and 06 www.justice4ny.com) **How many more thousands of men, women and children are going to be illegally imprisoned by the corrupt family court judges and support magistrates before something is done?** Tens of thousands of men, women and children have already been illegally imprisoned since I started raising the issues of these illegal family court proceedings.

How many litigants in criminal court are going to have their cases fixed by the prosecutors and judges? You keep hearing NO one is above the law. **The democrats control NYS and Federal Judiciaries in NYS and have placed the judges, attorneys and prosecutors above the law by covering-up their illegal actions.** Further, they use the FBI and state and city police that are controlled by the democrats to threaten and intimidate anyone who would try to expose judicial corruption! (Scoop 11 FBI - protecting the democrats and illegal imprisonments and Scoop 23 FBI, state & Albany county officials in attempted murder plot. <https://justice4ny.com/scoops/>).

Make no mistake about it, the NYS Court of Appeals judges, Appellate Court judges, family court judges, and support magistrates and other state court judges are TERRORISTS and are committing TREASON against both the NYS and Federal Constitutions. These family court judges and support magistrates are DESTROYING thousands of families each year in the illegal family court proceedings and are also abusing children as documented in my scoops and videos. **They deprive fathers of their children by refusing to enforce the father's parenting time, they are illegally imprisoning fathers, mothers, our military personal and/or their family members and especially children in their closed court proceedings and by illegally depriving them of their Federal Constitutional right to a jury trial. Further, make no mistake about it, the judges get their jollies out of violating a litigant's rights, and go home every night laughing their asses off about how they are able to destroy litigants and their families** because they believe they live on Mount Olympus and no one is going to do anything about their illegal actions.

The democrats pit one group against another and make out that if you disagree with them, you have the problem. Make no mistake about it, the democrats are using Hitler's play book as they are facists or socialists and Hitler wannbe's. The democrats are out to destroy our freedoms and want to finish destroying our Military that Obama started doing. They want to make the United States a third world country.

NYS U.S. liberal democrat Senators Chuck Schumer and Klrsten Gillibrand are more than willing to shut down the US government for children who came to this country illegally than protect the children of NYS. They will NOT negotiate with President Trump bcause they do NOT want this issue off the table. They want to use this issue of the children for the 2018 elections. Go to the following to see Schumers response to DACA

before our President Trump was elected. Hint: You would think that Schumer was Trump. Schumer Then VS Now / DACA - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hO79uvBwz48>

Senators, do you remember the last election in 2016 and what you made the big issue about? You are right! Stripping tens of millions of women in the United States of their Constitutional Right to Privacy.

Remember you argued that transgender males should be able to use womens bathrooms or locker rooms even if women are showering in them.

Transsgender males have the same body part as other males. Questions that were NEVER asked of the Senators:

Senator Schumer why would you want your wife or daughters to share a women's bathroom, locker room or shower with a transgender male or any male? Don't your wife and daughter's have a right to privacy? Also, Senator Schumer why would your wife and your daughters want to share a bathroom, locker room or shower with a transgender male or any unknown male? Obviously, they would have no problem with this as they didn't speak up about it.

Senator Gillibrand can you tell me why you would want to share a women's bathroom, locker room or shower with a transgender male or any male that is not your husband? Also, your husband has no problem with you sharing a bathroom, locker room or shower with a transgender male or any other male than him?

What about my 90 year old mother's, my girlfriend's or my daughter's or grand daughter's and the tens of millions of other women out their constitutional right to privacy? Why should they or any other women be placed in such a situation? **Why do you two Senators want to take the constitutional right to privacy away from tens millions of women in NYS and in this country?**

Senators Chuck Schumer and Klrsten Gillibrand please explain why are you willing to protect illegal immigrants by shutting down our government over them but you **are NOT willing to protect the Constitutional and Statutory Rights of the citizens of NYS** and the illegal imprisonments as documtented in my Scoops and Viideos at www.justice4ny.com?

The democrats will fight to have illegal aliens stay in this country so that they can vote for the democrats even though they are NOT citizens of the United States, yet they will NOT fight to protect our constitutional rights.

Why don't you both (Schumer and Gillibrand) call for a BOYCOTT of New York State until NYS protects our State and Federal Constitutional and Statutory Rights and arrests and tries the corrupt State and Federal Judges and attorneys who have conspired to deprive the citizens of NYS of their State and Federal Constitutional Rights? That's right, they are mostly liberal democrats.

All states and professional teams need to BOYCOTT NEW YORK STATE!!

I am sure that as liberal democarts you both are thilled and that your fellow democrats are envious that NYS illegally imprisons our former and current military personal and/or their family members in illegally closed court proceedings and where they are illegally deprived of a relationship with their children and their constitutional rights to a public trial, jury trial and court of proper jurisdiction just to start with. **The military have put their lives on the line for this Country and this is how NYS repays them by stripping them and their families of their State and Federal Constitutional and Statutory Rights and of their children?**

Further, you both shut down our government to protect illegal migrant children. Yet, you both allow the children brought into family court and put into jail and/or detention to be deprived of their constitutional right to a jury trial and the children are in most cases probably given incompetent assistance of counsel. Why are children allowed to be abused and threatened by the judges, attorneys, law guardians and social workers?
Why are you Schumer and Gillibrand refusing to protect these abused children?

Video - A Child's Constitutional Right to a Jury Trial in Family Court (4:30) <https://youtu.be/6cHRXJatzD4>
14-year-old girl's essay on brutal abuse and threats by judge, social workers and law guardian (8:53) https://youtu.be/3hflopq_gWA

Psychologist's response to 14-year old - slams court (6:53) <https://youtu.be/ZwE8cnwvnsA>

Court extortion reason - 14-year old girl (11:52) <https://youtu.be/Qf6fRs5DeI8>

Other Custody and abuse by judges (48:40) <https://youtu.be/etQTsLxHNxM>

Child Not learning - alternative school (4:12) <https://youtu.be/5R1MaSdAyoc>

Child on over 20 pills she didn't need (4:16) <https://youtu.be/ShIMVtV7-6s>

I have emailed both Senators Schumer's and Gillibrand's offices with my Scoops documenting the illegal actions of the NYS Judiciary. I just get a reply that they received it. These Senators and their followers could care less about our constitutional and statutory rights. They could care less about our national security or our borders where millions of dollars of drugs pass over on a daily basis. They could care less about the defense of our country as all they want to spend money on social issues where the money is spent on duplicate agencies doing the same work and does not solve the problems of poverty as the democrats do not want to solve this problem. Yet, the two of you with Obama were almost able to destroy our military readiness except President Trump got elected and is defending our military. **The military fought and continues to fight for our constitutional rights only to have you liberal democrats take their and/or family members rights away.**

The democrats, such as Senator Chuck Schumer and Senator Kirsten Gillibrand, go after President Trump claiming he is un-American and compare him to Hitler while covering up the illegal actions of the NYS democrat-controlled judiciary. Make no mistake about it, it is the DEMOCRATS who are the socialists and want to emulate Hitler. In my opinion and others, Kaye, a fem-a-Nazis, wanted everyone to stand up and salute her and yell Sieg Heil Kaye, Seig Heil Kaye. (Sieg Heil meaning was 'Hail Victory' was used to call Nazis to attention to honor Adolf Hitler). Kaye wanted it to honor herself and the CORRUPT Court of Appeals judges for their **opposition** to the NYS and U.S. Constitutions and their victory in the oppression of constitutional and statutory rights of public trials and jury trials and other actions by the corrupt NYS Judiciary in having litigants illegally deprived of their children and/or illegally imprisoned in NYS.

Governor Cuomo is more interested in helping people living in Puerto Rico and the illegal immigrants in NYS than the citizens of NYS. Why is Cuomo allowing the Judiciary and his prosecutors to violate the rights of the citizens of NYS? Cuomo complains about President Trump, but **NYS would not be in the position it is financially if it wasn't for the tax and spend liberal democrats** and NY being a high tax state. Why is it that all of the high tax states are controlled by the democrats?

Where is NYS Attorney General Sneiderman? Again another liberal democrat fighting for the illegal immigrants while allowing the judges to violate State and Federal Constitutional and Statutory Rights of the citizens of NYS who elected him to office. He is their to protect the corrupt judges, prosecutors and social workers and cover-up their illegal actions.

Tell these corrupt judges and support magistrates that they are NOT above the law and you are going to exercise every constitutional right you have to have them pay for their crimes. EXERCISE YOUR RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH after reading the below cases law in full at the links provided for the full text.

REMEMBER NO VIOLENCE.

Call the judges who participate in these illegal family court and criminal proceedings. What about the attorneys who do nothing but sell out their clients to the corrupt NYS judiciary. Let them know what you think of them. Colorful language is NOT illegal! Remember, they have no problem destroying other people's families including yours. I have documented how the judges abuse children.

Call Judge Kaye's daughter, Luisa M. Kaye, who is an attorney with Wrobel Markham Schatz Kaye & Fox Law Firm, 360 Lexington Avenue, 15th Floor, New York, NY 100170 and Tel.: (212) 421-8100. Look her up on her law firm's website at: <http://wmlawny.com/lawyers/luisa-kaye/> The law firm states she has amassed extensive experience in all aspects of commercial litigation, across a broad spectrum of subjects, in all types of forums: state and federal trial courts; appellate courts; and arbitral tribunals. While she is thoroughly comfortable litigating any commercial matter, and an even broader range of subjects on appeal (including criminal law). How many of her cases and/or her father's cases did her mother rule on or fix for them? Ask her under what authority, as a criminal lawyer, did her mother have to strip the citizens of this state of their Federal Constitutional Rights to a public trial, a jury trial, court of proper jurisdiction before then are illegally imprisoned and other illegal actions by her mother as documented within my "Scoops"? Ask her how many more fathers, mothers and children are going to be illegally imprisoned in this state because of her two-bit lying arrogant liberal democrat fem-a-Nazi whore of a mother who refused to follow the law that she took an oath of office to support and knowingly destroying thousands of men, women and children and their families! (Kaye sounds like a Hitler wannabe to me!!) The article by her law firm goes on to state that she is a member of the New York Women's Bar Association, where she is a co-chair of the **Litigation Committee**. Luisa should be able to explain her mother's actions holding that the right to a public trial, a jury trial, a court of proper jurisdiction are NOT substantial rights when one is facing imprisonment and I am sure that she supports her mother's rulings. (See Scoop 32 Judge Kaye - Public & jury trials in NY are NOT substantial rights)

Comments on Kaye, my response (13:40) <https://youtu.be/tOQQ2Qy1F8Q>

Further, the social workers and the law guardians are there to make sure the children are with the mother, even though they know in many cases the mother is unfit. Go into family court, oh, I forgot it is illegally closed to the public. If you could go into family court, you would see in the vast majority of the cases the law guardian sits with the mother and her attorney, Also, in a lot of cases they refuse to even meet with the father and only want to hear the mother's side. I have never heard of a law guardian sitting with the father. I have also been told many times after a father gets custody, they tell the mother what to do so she can get her children back. I have never heard of a father being told this.

Over the years I have seen many fathers like myself trying to have a relationship with our children only to be denied by the mothers. The father's file violation petitions and their time with their children is reduced and NO action is taken against the mother that empowers her even more to interfere with the father's parenting time. The court wants to blame the fathers. I have one ex-military father who hasn't seen his son in a couple of years because the judge refuses to enforce his time with his son. In fact, the judge dismissed his petition well over a year ago and last December 2017, the father won his appeal and the matter was sent back to the family court judge for further hearings. It is now February, 2018 over a year later and he has been unable to get a court date and continues to be deprived of his son. He did drive here for a court date, only to be told after he got here it was cancelled.

NO VIOLENCE, but litigants and their families need to stand up and exercise their Constitutional Right to Free Speech. In particular read the full ruling in People v. Dietze, 75 N.Y.2d 47 by the NYS Court of Appeals (<https://www.leagle.com/decision/198912275ny2d471118>) that states in part:

Defendant's words do not, however, fall within the scope of constitutionally proscribable expression, which is considerably narrower than that of the statute. Speech is often "abusive" — even vulgar, derisive, and provocative — and yet it is still protected under the State and Federal constitutional guarantees of free expression unless it is much more than that (see, *Lewis v City of New Orleans*, [415 U.S. 130](#), 133-134; cf., *Steinhilber v Alphonse*, [68 N.Y.2d 283](#)). **Casual conversation may well be "abusive" and intended to "annoy"; so, too, may be light-hearted banter or the earnest expression of personal opinion or emotion. But unless speech presents a clear and present danger of some serious substantive evil, it may neither be forbidden nor penalized** (*Terminiello v Chicago*, [337 U.S. 1](#), 4-5; see, *City of Houston v Hill*, [482 U.S. 451](#), 461-462; *People v Feiner*, [300 N.Y. 391](#), 402).

[People v. Hogan](#), 172 Misc.2d 279 at: <https://www.leagle.com/decision/1997451172misc2d2791409>

These two cases are part of a growing trend of charging "domestic violence" defendants with harassment for "verbal abuse". If there is an extant order of protection, a count of criminal contempt is thrown in. ... They do not involve any threats of physical violence or harm. The defendants are not charged with ... threatening to subject another to physical contact. While *People v. Dietze*, 75 N.Y.2d 47 (1989) **characterizes a statement by the defendant that she would "beat the crap out of [complainant] some day or night in the street" as not a threat, but merely a protected "crude outburst"**, ..., virtually any threat of physical violence after the issuance of an order of protection must be taken seriously. But there are no such threats in either of these cases, either express or implied.

The accusatory instruments in the instant cases also fail to allege facts showing that the verbal disputes in these cases had no legitimate purpose. While at first blush it is difficult to ascribe any legitimate purpose to the use of a swear word, the phrase "no legitimate purpose" cannot be so broadly construed. The registering of displeasure with another person is legitimate, protected speech. Indeed, many people seem hardly able to speak an English sentence without the use of at least one four letter word. a defendant was prosecuted for calling her ex-husband about his remitting a support payment check in less than the full amount and for using various choice swear words to describe what she thought of the situation. In dismissing the accusatory instrument, the court said "The mere fact the defendant in anger or frustration uses colorful language in registering her displeasure with actions of the complainant does not render the communication criminal within the ambit of the Penal Law."

Yet, everyday fathers are punished for their language by orders of protection. The court keeps postponing the matter with the order of protection in place until the father agrees. The judges do not want a trial! This is a denial of due process and equal protection of the law.

They do not involve any threats of physical violence or harm. The defendants are not charged with ... threatening to subject another to physical contact. While *People v. Dietze*, 75 N.Y.2d 47 (1989) **characterizes a statement by the defendant that she would "beat the crap out of [complainant] some day or night in the street" as not a threat, but merely a protected "crude outburst"**, ..., virtually any threat of physical violence after the issuance of an order of protection must be taken seriously.

It is the democrats who are leading the charge to deprive us of our constitutional rights to public trials, jury trials, courts of proper jurisdiction, illegally fixing cases to have people illegally imprisoned for demanding that their Constitutional and Statutory Rights be enforced and fixing criminal cases for the District Attorneys especially in Albany County.

The democrats forced the US government to shut down for illegal immigrants, why don't Schumer and Gillibrand fight for the Constitutional and Statutory Rights of the citizens who elected them. Because democrats are the new Fourth Reich with the FBI as the new Gestapo. Just look at how the FBI protects the corrupt democrats like Hillary Clinton who sold out the US uranium to Russia. Obama, Hillary and the FBI

and the intelligence communities illegally spying on our President Trump before, during and after the election based upon a FAKE dossier paid for by Hillary and the democrats and Hillary conveniently destroying over 30,000 emails to cover up her illegal actions.

Should the US Government take over control of the NYS Judiciary away from the liberal democrats by appointing someone OUTSIDE of the NYS Judiciary to clean it up? The violation of Constitutional Rights has to end. NO one should be tried, convicted and sentenced to jail in a closed court proceeding and deprived of their constitutional right to a jury trial as well as their other constitutional and statutory rights. (I know, Cuomo, Schumer, Gillibrand and Sneiderman will oppose this take over as they SUPPORT the violation of Constitution Rights to a public trial, a jury trial and a court of proper jurisdiction and we must NOT forget, the oppression of rights for minors and their illegal imprisonments. Also, Cuomo, Schumer, Gillibrand and Sneiderman will protect illegal aliens in NYS, but will NOT protect the citizens of NY and the stripping of their constitutional rights as they believe we have NO rights!)

New York State should be boycotted by all states and professional organizations!!

Justice4NY – Exposing Judicial Corruption & the Violation of Constitutional Rights
Please donate at my website www.justice4ny.com

Read my searchable book, that documents judicial corruption using the NYS and Federal Constitutions, statutes and case law for corrupt state and Federal courts. Judicial Terrorism an Indictment of the New York State and Federal Judiciaries at: <https://justice4ny.com/> just scroll down.

Charles E. Collins, III - available for lectures

(518) 274-0380

justice4ny@aol.com

www.justice4ny.com

Check out my other scoops! This is scoop #30

Read my book, Judicial Terrorism at:

Like me on face book. (Charles Collins – American Flag waiving)